

Sample

25 mm

178 mm

Triple Space

16 pt fonts

Use doubleline

Analysis of SO₂ Measurement Accuracy by Multiwavelength DIAL

←Center the title

12 pt

Double Space

Taro Denshi (Technical Research Labs)

9 pt

Hanako Denki (Technican Labs)

Double Space

16 mm

16 mm

abstract

This paper presents two multiwavelength methods to improve the accuracy of a DIAL system for measuring SO₂ in the lower atmosphere: a dual-DIAL method using three or four wavelengths, and a curvefitting method using five wavelengths. By the selection of appropriate wavelengths, these methods can eliminate the effects of ozone and aerosols. Since there is no significant difference in accuracy between the four wavelength dual-DIAL and curvefit methods, the former is advantageous for SO₂ detection in view of the measurement and data processing speeds.

Indent two strokes each on both margins

Key words: laser radar, SO₂, DIAL, multiwavelength differential absorption

Double Space

Double Space

Use 2 lines for the heading


1. Introduction

LIDAR (Light Detection And Ranging) has been used for measurement of atmospheric pollutants by Raman scattering, resonant fluorescence, and differential absorption⁽¹⁾. Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of a LIDAR system. This apparatus transmits laser radiation into the atmosphere, collects light backscattered by atmospheric molecules and particulates using a receiving telescope, and converts it to an electric signal using a photodetector such as a photomultiplier tube. The measurement height is obtained from the time delay between illumination and detection. Therefore, to measure the height profile one measures the received photon counts as a function of time delay relative to illumination using a multichannel scaler. The measurement range resolution ΔR is determined by the time width of the channel $\Delta t = 2\Delta R/c$, where c is the speed of light. The smaller the time Δt , the better the range resolution, but the photon count per channel becomes less and the relative error larger.

10 pt

Use 9 pt font

8 mm between the columns



Do not fill the blank space around the figures with text

Center the caption

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a LIDAR system

Double Space

error⁽⁵⁾. In this paper, we examined the measurement accuracy of dual-DIAL methods using three or four wavelengths (consisting of a combination of two two-wavelength DIAL pairs) and a curvefit method using five wavelengths.

2. Multiwavelength Differential Absorption

Two strokes space after the heading

2.1 Fundamentals of DIAL The received energy for a LIDAR is given by the following LIDAR equation:

(An equation starts at two-stroke indent)

$$E_r(R, \lambda_i) = [E_0 \eta A] \frac{\Delta R}{R^2} \beta_\pi(R) \times \exp \left[-2 \int_0^R (a_0 + a_x) dR' \right] \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Here $E_r(R, \lambda_i)$ is the backscattered photon energy received from range between R and $R + \Delta R$ from the illumination laser, λ_i the illumination wavelength, E_0

24 mm

248 mm

the illumination energy, η the optical efficiency of the

□4. Conclusion

□In this paper, we calculated the error due to ozone and aerosols in measurement of SO₂ concentrations of ppb order using DIAL. The statistical error of the return signal and background noise can be overcome by improving the system constant (laser output, receiver area, optical efficiency of the receiver). On the other hand, systematic errors due to ozone and aerosols are inherent in the measurement method, and cannot be eliminated solely by improving the system constant. In conventional two-wavelength DIAL, the systematic error is over 1.5 ppb and the measurement accuracy is insufficient. In order to improve the measurement accuracy, a multi-wavelength differential absorption method using three or more wavelengths is effective. In this paper we have considered dual-DIAL methods using three or four wavelengths and a curvefit method using five wavelengths, and indicated that the measurement errors due to ozone and aerosols can be reduced relative to conventional DIAL or eliminated. When these methods are

compared, four-wavelength dual-DIAL is superior in view of measurement accuracy and measurement/processing speeds.

(Manuscript received September 25, 1997)

↑ Double Space

References

- (1) E.D. Hinkley, ed.: *Laser Monitoring of the Atmosphere*, Springer-verlag, Berlin (1976)
- (2) H. Edner, K. Fredriksson, A. Sunesson, S. Svanberg, L. Unéus, and W. Wendt: "Mobile remote sensing system for atmospheric monitoring", *Appl. Opt.*, **26**, pp. 4330-4335 (1987)
- (3) H. Edner, P. Ragnarson, S. Svanberg, E. Wallinder, R. Ferrara, R. Cioni, B. Raco, and G. Taddeucci: "Total fluxes of sulfur dioxide from the Italian volcanoes Etna, Stromboli, and Valcano measured by differential absorption lidar and passive differential optical absorption spectroscopy", *J. Geophys. Res.*, **99**, pp. 1820-1825 (1994)
- (4) K. Fredriksson, B. Galle, K. Nyström, and S. Svanberg: "Lidar system applied in atmospheric pollution monitoring", *Appl. Opt.*, **18**, 2998-2302 (1979)
- (5) N. Goto: "SO₂ measurement by laser radar", Denki University Research Report No. 95085 (1995)
- (6) J. D. Klett: "Stable analytical inversion solution for processing lidar returns", *Appl. Opt.*, **20**, pp. 211-215 (1981)